UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,046	07/21/2005	Lisa Marie Shewchuk	PU4804USW	7149
23347 7590 03/13/2008 GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B475 EIVE MOORE DR. DO POY 13208			EXAMINER	
			STEADMAN, DAVID J	
FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/543,046	SHEWCHUK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David J. Steadman	1656					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.06.June 2007						
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.						
<i>7</i>	=	ers prosecution as to the merits is					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	Idol Ex parto Quaylo, 1000 O.D	. 11, 100 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6 and 10-14</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 4,6 and 10-14 are subject to res	triction and/or election requirem	ent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ov the Examiner					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	no Examinor. Noto the attached	Cinico y tolicii ci ioiiii 170 102.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 					

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Application

- [1] Claims 4, 6, and 10-14 are pending in the application.
- [2] Applicant's preliminary amendment to the claims, filed on 6/6/07, is acknowledged. This listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims. Claims 1-3, 5, and 7-9 are canceled, claims 4 and 6 are amended, and claims 10-14 are added.
- [3] Receipt of an information disclosure statement, filed on 6/6/07, is acknowledged.
- [4] Receipt of a statement of the sameness of the computer readable form and paper copy of the sequence listing filed on 7/21/05 and a statement that no new matter has been added to the specification by the paper copy of the sequence CRF, filed on 6/6/07, is acknowledged.

Lack of Unity

- [5] Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, original claims 4-6, in the reply filed on 6/6/07 is acknowledged.
- [6] Upon reconsideration of the lack of unity in the Office communication filed on 5/7/07 and in view of newly added claims 10-14, the following is a supplemental lack of unity.
- [7] Lack of unity is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are

Art Unit: 1656

deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows (presented in order of appearance in claim 6):

i. Interaction with amino acid residue 796

- ii. Interaction with amino acid 797
- iii. Interaction with amino acid 798
- iv. Interaction with amino acid 799
- v. Interaction with amino acid 800
- vi. Interaction with amino acid 724
- vii. Interaction with amino acid 749
- viii. Interaction with amino acid 850
- ix. Interaction with amino acid 848
- x. Interaction with amino acid 860
- xi. Interaction with amino acid 803
- xii. Interaction with amino acid 847
- xiii. Interaction with amino acid 732
- xiv. Interaction with amino acid 725
- xv. Interaction with amino acid 732
- xvi. Interaction with amino acid 749
- xvii. Interaction with amino acid 751
- xviii. Interaction with amino acid 796
- xix. Interaction with amino acid 861

Art Unit: 1656

XX.	Interaction with amino acid 860
xxi.	Interaction with amino acid 772
xxii.	Interaction with amino acid 781
xxiii.	Interaction with amino acid 783
xxiv.	Interaction with amino acid 794
XXV.	Interaction with amino acid 796
xxvi.	Interaction with amino acid 862
xxvii.	Interaction with amino acid 801
xxviii.	Interaction with amino acid 802
xxix.	Interaction with amino acid 803
XXX.	Interaction with amino acid 806
xxxi.	Interaction with amino acid 810

[8] The technical feature linking speices i to xxxi is a method of ErbB4 inhibitor design. The inventions listed as species i to xxxi do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

According to PCT Rule 13.2 and to the guidelines in Section (f)(i)(B)(1) of Annex B of the PCT Administrative Instructions, all alternatives of a Markush Group must have a common structure. Although the species i to xxxi are all amino acids of an ErbB4 having the structural coordinates of Table 2, the amino acids are not regarded as being of similar nature because each of the alternatives is an interaction with an amino acid residue of Table 2 having a distinct three-dimensional structure.

According to PCT Rule 13.2 unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The species of i to xxxi do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature of species i to

xxxi is a method of ErbB4 inhibitor design, which is shown by Rusnack et al. (*Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* 1:85-94, 2001) to lack novelty or inventive step because the reference of Rusnack et al. teaches a method of ErbB4 inhibitor design (see particularly p. 88, Table 1) and does not make it a contribution over the prior art.

- [9] Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- [10] Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- [11] Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- [12] The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the

Art Unit: 1656

election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

- [13] Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.
- [14] Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.
- [15] The claims will be examined only to the extent they read on the elected subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Steadman whose telephone number is 571-272-0942. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri, 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/David J. Steadman/ David J. Steadman, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1656